

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method of status generation for a node of a high-availability cluster, the  
5 method comprising:  
sending a heartbeat signal from the node through a network to the cluster;  
determining a current status of the node; and  
sending the current status out through a specialized interface to a next  
node.
- 10 2. The method of claim 1, wherein the specialized interface is dedicated to  
inter-node status communication, and wherein the network is used for  
other communications in addition to the heartbeat signaling.
- 15 3. The method of claim 1, further comprising:  
determining a current degraded level of the node; and  
sending the degraded level out through the specialized hardware to the  
next node.
- 20 4. The method of claim 3, wherein the specialized interface is dedicated to  
inter-node status communication, and wherein the network is used for  
other communications in addition to the heartbeat signaling.
- 25 5. The method of claim 4, wherein the specialized interface couples nodes of  
the cluster in a ring topology.
6. A method of cluster-wide management performed per node, the method  
comprising:  
checking an up/down status input received from a previous node;  
30 checking a degraded status input received from the previous node; and  
checking a heartbeat input received from the previous node.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the degraded status input comprises multiple degradation levels, and wherein one such level comprises a "bad" state indicating that the previous node appears down.
- 5 8. The method of claim 6, further comprising:  
determining whether a configuration file at the previous node has been  
changed; and  
if the configuration file has been changed, then retrieving the configuration  
file from the previous node and storing the retrieved configuration  
10 file at the present node.
9. The method of claim 6, further comprising:  
performing a logical analysis of the inputs to determine whether a failure  
of the previous node is indicated.
- 15 10. The method of claim 9, wherein the logical analysis comprises  
determining a failure of the previous node if a majority of the status inputs  
indicates that the previous node appears down.
- 20 11. The method of claim 9, wherein the logical analysis differentiates between  
the failure of the previous node and a failure of an inter-node  
communication channel.
- 25 12. The method of claim 11, wherein the logical analysis further differentiates  
between a problem with a first inter-node communication channel and a  
problem with a second inter-node communication channel.
- 30 13. The method of claim 12, wherein the first inter-node communication  
channel comprises a point-to-point link dedicated for node status  
information, and wherein the second inter-node communication channel  
comprises a network for carrying heartbeat signals and other  
communications.

14. The method of claim 7, further comprising reporting that a network carrying the heartbeat is down if the heartbeat is bad and the two status inputs are not both bad.
- 5 15. The method of claim 7, further comprising reporting a problem with an inter-node communication channel carrying the status inputs if the heartbeat is okay and one, but not both, of the two status inputs is bad.
- 10 16. The method of claim 7, further comprising comparing the degraded status with a node removal threshold for potential removal of the previous node from the cluster if the degraded status shows degradation above the threshold.
- 15 17. A system for of a high-availability cluster, the system comprising:  
a general inter-node communication network that is configured to carry signals including heartbeat signals from the nodes; and  
a separate inter-node communication channel for communicating node status signals.
- 20 18. The system of claim 17, wherein the node status signals includes an up/down status signal and a degraded status signal.
- 25 19. The system of claim 18, wherein the system is configured with a logical analysis procedure that differentiates between a failure of a node and a problem with inter-node communication.
- 30 20. The system of claim 19, wherein the logical analysis further differentiates between a problem with the general inter-node communication network and a problem with the separate inter-node communication channel.